

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fi	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,624	10/751,624 01/05/2004		Hua-Song Zhou	14155 B	2432
36672	7590	06/22/2005		EXAMINER	
CHARLES 90 JOHN ST		LEY, ESQ.	FETSUGA, ROBERT M		
THIRD FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	K, NY 10	038	3751		

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					4				
		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)					
			51,624	ZHOU, HUA-SONG					
Office /	Action Summary	Exan	niner	Art Unit					
			rt M. Fetsuga	3751					
The MAILIN Period for Reply	IG DATE of this communi	cation appears o	n the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ss				
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply s; If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the content of the content	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR THIS COMMUNITY DE Available under the provisions from the mailing date of this commo pecified above is less than thirty (30 is specified above, the maximum state set or extended period for reply the Office later than three months a sustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. or	no event, however, may a re ne statutory minimum of thirt and will expire SIX (6) MON ne application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commi	unication.				
Status									
1) Responsive	to communication(s) file	d on <u>05 January</u>	<u>2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action i	s FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claim	S								
4a) Of the all 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.3 7) ☑ Claim(s) 2 a	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,4,6 and 7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2 and 5 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
10) The drawing Applicant ma Replacement	y not request that any object drawing sheet(s) including	004 is/are: a)☐ ction to the drawin the correction is r	g(s) be held in abeyar equired if the drawing	bjected to by the Examiner. nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR of d Office Action or form PTO-					
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119								
a)⊠ All b)□ 1.⊠ Certif 2.□ Certif 3.□ Copie applic	ment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: ied copies of the priority ied copies of the priority es of the certified copies cation from the Internatio hed detailed Office actio	documents have documents have of the priority do nal Bureau (PC)	e been received. e been received in A cuments have been TRule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	age				
	on's Patent Drawing Review (P re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 	i2)				

Art Unit: 3751

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

The current status and any other pertinent information concerning the priority document(s) is respectfully requested (MPEP 2001.06(a) and 37 CFR 1.56).

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 5, line 21, reference numeral "51" is missing from the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "hookup" set forth in claim 3 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,

Application/Control Number: 10/751,624

Art Unit: 3751

and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

- 4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Proper antecedent basis for the "fully utilizing original component..." language and "connecting device" set forth in claim 1 could not be found in the specification. Applicant is reminded claim terminology in mechanical cases should appear in the descriptive portion of the specification by reference to the drawing(s).
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and

Art Unit: 3751

distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim recites "fully utilizing original component...".

The metes and bounds of this language is neither discernable from the instant disclosure, nor evident to the examiner.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moore.

The Moore reference discloses a mechanism comprising: a carrier 17 including a socket 42; a retainer ring 37; and a connecting device 40, as claimed.

Art Unit: 3751

8. Claims 1 and 6, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moore.

Page 5

The Moore reference discloses a mechanism comprising: a carrier 17 including a socket 40; a retainer ring 38; and a connecting device 42, as claimed.

9. Claim 7, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore and Condon et al.

Although the retainer ring of the Moore mechanism is not a nut, as claimed, attention is directed to the Condon et al.

(Condon) reference which discloses an analogous mechanism 51 which further includes a nut-type retainer ring 42. Therefore, in consideration of Condon, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the shower mechanism art to associate a nut with the Moore retainer ring in order to facilitate installation.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Zhadanov, Booker et al. and Hennessey references disclose various shower mechanisms having features in common with the instant invention.

11. Claims 2 and 5 appear to be free of the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,624 Page 6

Art Unit: 3751

12. Applicant is referred to MPEP 714.02 and 608.01(o) in responding to this Office action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 571/272-4886 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday.

Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751